City of Sugar Hill Planning Staff Report CBD Design Review 18-00615

DATE:	May 30, 2018
TO:	Mayor and Council, Design Review Board
FROM:	Planning Director
SUBJECT:	Central Business District (CBD) Design Review
	Mixed Use Development, Prestwick Development Company

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approval of the site plan and residential buildings in material and architectural detail with the following conditions:

- Final designs shall substantially resemble the attached exhibits labeled Exhibit 1

 6. The attached photographs labeled as Exhibits 5 and 6 are for illustrative purposes only and the final material and color selections for the carriage houses, club house and brew house shall resemble the palate illustrated in Exhibits 2 and 3.
- 2. The plaza at the corner of Hillcrest Drive and Highway 20 on the commercial parcel shall incorporate a substantial gateway art feature. The design shall incorporate a water feature and must be approved by the design review board prior to receiving building permits for any structures on the commercial parcel. Prior to releasing a certificate of occupancy for any residential building the developer shall post a performance bond of \$75,000 as surety to ensure the gateway feature is successfully incorporated into the project.
- ISSUE The City of Sugar Hill has received an application from Prestwick Development Company requesting design review board approval for a mixed-use project at the corner of Hillcrest Drive and Nelson Brogdon Boulevard, located within the Central Business District Overlay.

DISCUSSION

- The Central Business District overlay requires design review approval by City Council.
- The application adequately addresses the overlay design standards.

BACKGROUND

APPLICANT: Prestwick Development Company PROPERTY OWNER: Ansley Hillcrest LLC. EXISTING ZONING: General Business (BG).

5/31/2018

Page 1 of 3

REQUEST: Mixed Use Project – Design Review Approval.

PROPERTY SIZE: \pm 11.73 Acres, Tax Parcel #7-305-062.

LOCATION: Corner of Hillcrest Drive and Nelson Brogdon Boulevard.

Section 1002.F. requires Mayor and City Council approval of all new construction or land improvements within the TCO and CBD. The TCO and CBD requirements are designed to promote attractive and integrated urban design features, small town urban character, and pedestrian-oriented public and commercial spaces.

DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA

1. Is the proposed project consistent with the adopted design guidelines for the type of development, and/or the proposed use?

The CBD requirements are designed to foster development of the city center using traditional block patterns characterized by common side walls, building fronts abutting the sidewalk and onstreet parking; breaking up large blocks; optimizing multi-modal connectivity and incorporating small public gathering spaces.

The proposed design is consistent with the adopted design guidelines. The buildings provide pedestrian-scale architectural elements and commercial uses at the ground floor. The site includes public gathering areas.

2. Is the proposed project consistent and compatible with the nature and character of the surrounding areas?

The proposed designs are consistent with the emerging nature of the area being developed under the Central Business District Overlay. This location along Georgia Highway 20 provides an opportunity for Sugar Hill to promote the city with a high quality/high profile development that addresses building character, pedestrian experience and a small town/urban feel. The expansion of the Central Business District is a natural expansion of the traditional downtown onto the adjacent main corridor through Sugar Hill.

3. Are the site design, landscaping, general design, character, arrangement and scale of buildings, texture, materials and colors of the project similar to or compatible with features or structures in the area.

The proposed elevations and conceptual site plans are compatible with the emerging character of the area.

4. Will the interior arrangement or use have any effect on exterior architectural features and otherwise complies with the standards of this ordinance?

The design team will need to ensure that any interior changes to the configuration do not adversely affect the layout of the facade.

5. Does the project contribute or resemble the following criteria for considering a design inappropriate?

Character foreign to the area / Arresting and spectacular effects / Violent contrasts of material or color, or intense or lurid colors / A multiplicity or incongruity of details resulting in a restless and disturbing appearance / The

absence of unity and coherence in composition not in consonance with the density and character of the present structure or surrounding area.

The proposed changes do not contribute to any of the listed inappropriate design criteria.



HUMPHREYS & PARTNERS ARCHITECTS, LP HPA #2018125

Project Summary

3/4 SPLIT - I (WITH GARAGES)

UNIT	UNIT	UNIT NET SF	UNIT BALCONY	UNIT	BDRM	UNIT	TOTAL	TOTAL	
DESIGNATION	TYPE	(PER UNIT)	(PER UNIT)	COUNT	COUNT	GROSS SF	NET SF	GROSS SF	
Residential			, ,						
A1	1BR/1BA	627	39	16	16	666	10,032	10,656	
A2	1BR/1BA	759	100	8	8	859	6,072	6,872	
81	2BR/2BA	1113	35	29	58	1148	32,277	33,292	
B2	2BR/2BA	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	
C1	3BR/2BA	1438	98	4	12	1536	5,752	6,144	
INIT TOTALS				57	94		54,133	56,964	

3/4 SPLIT - II (WITHOUT GARAGES)

· · · · ·		UNIT	UNIT						
UNIT	UNIT	NET \$F	BALCONY	ŲNIT	BDRM	UNIT	TOTAL	TOTAL	
DESIGNATION	TYPE	(PER UNIT)	(PER UNIT)	COUNT	COUNT	GROSS SF	NET SF	GROSS SF	
Residential									
A1	1BR/18A	627	39	18	18	666	11,286	11,988	
A2	1BR/1BA	759	100	8	8	859	6,072	6,872	39
B1	2BR/2BA	1113	35	36	72	1148	40,068	41,328	
B2	2BR/2BA	0	0	0	0	0		~	54
C1	3BR/2BA	1438	98	4	12	1536	5,752	6,144	6
NIT TOTALS				66	110		63,178	66,332	
	1								

BIG HOUSE - II (2/3 SPLIT WITH GARAGES) --- 10 TOTAL BUILDINGS

UNIT DESIGNATION	UNIT TYPE	UNIT NET SF (PER UNIT)	UNIT BALCONY (PER UNIT)	UNIT COUNT	BDRM COUNT	UNIT GROSS SF	TOTAL NET SF	TOTAL GROSS SF	
Residential	1								
A1 LOWER	1BR/1BA	745	82	0	0	827	-	-	
A1 UPPER	1BR/1BA	803	82	10	10	885	8,030	8,850	
A2 LOWER	1BR/18A	735	44	0	0	779	-	-	
A2 UPPER	1BR/1BA	860	33	0	0	893	-	-	20.0%
B1 LOWER	2BR/2BA	1028	79	0	0	1107	-	-	
B1 UPPER	2BR/2BA	1105	56	10	20	1161	11,050	11,610	
B2 LOWER	2BR/2BA	1207	126	20	40	1333	24,140	26,660	
B2 UPPER	2BR/2BA	1263	126	10	20	1389	12,630	13,890	80.0%
C1 LOWER	3BR/2BA	1438	1340	0	0	2778	-	-	
C1 UPPER	3BR/2BA	1438	1413	0	0	2851	-	-	0.0%
UNIT TOTALS	T			50	90		55,850	61,010	

BIG HOUSE - III (2 STORY WITH GARAGES) --- 2 TOTAL BUILDINGS

UNIT DESIGNATION	UNIT TYPE	UNIT NET SF (PER UNIT)	UNIT BALCONY (PER UNIT)	UNIT COUNT	BDRM COUNT	UNIT GROSS SF	TOTAL NET SF	TOTAL GROSS SF
Residential								
A1 LOWER	1BR/1BA	745	82	0	0	827		
A1 UPPER	1BR/1BA	803	82	4	4	885	3,212	3,540
A2 LOWER	1BR/1BA	735	44	2	2	779	1,470	1,558
A2 UPPER	1BR/1BA	860	33	2	2	893	1,720	1,786
B1 LOWER	2BR/2BA	1028	79	0	0	1107	-	
B1 UPPER	2BR/2BA	1105	56	4	8	1161	4,420	4,644
B2 LOWER	2BR/2BA	1207	126	2	4	1333	2,414	2,666
B2 UPPER	2BR/2BA	1263	126	2	4	1389	2,526	2,778
C1 LOWER	3BR/2BA	1438	1340	2	6	2778	2,876	5,556
C1 UPPER	3BR/2BA	1438	1413	2	6	2851	2,876	5,702
INIT TOTALS				20	30		21,514	22,528

SUMMARY

RESIDENTIAL				TOTAL	TOTAL		UNITS	UNITS
BLDG	1BR	2BR	3BR	UNIT	BDRM	UNIT	TOTAL	TOTAL
DESIGNATION	UNITS	UNITS	UNITS	COUNT	COUNT	GROSS SF	NET SF	GROSS SF
3/4 SPLIT - I	24	29	4	57			54,133	56,964
3/4 SPLIT - II	31	36	4	71			63,178	66,33
BH-II	10	40	0	50			55,850	61,01
BH-III	8	8	4	20			2,876	5,70
CARRIAGE	2	0	0	2			1,608	1,60
JNIT TOTALS	75	113	12	200	337		177,645	191,61
	38%	57%	6%					
CLUBHOUSE (SF)	TBD	SF(AC)				Unit Average	TBD	square feet
CLUBHOUSE (SF) CORRIDOR (SF)					F	Unit Average Parking Required	TBD TBD	
					F			spaces
	TBD					Parking Required	TBD TBD	spaces spaces/unit

TOTAL RESIDENTIAL	200 UNITS
GROSS RES. (SF)	191616 SF
NET RES. (SF)	177645 SF
EFFICIENCY	TBD
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL GROSS AREA	191616 SF
TOTAL GROSS COMM'L AREA	1.52 Acres (Approx.)
TOTAL SITE AREA	10.17 Acres (Approx.)

Surface Parking Provided Garage Parking Provided Tandem Parking Total Parking Provided Ratio Provided Ratio Provided w/tandem

81 spaces 77 spaces 295 spaces

1.48 spaces/unit

1.86 spaces/unit

40.0%

40.0% 20.0%













FRONT ELEVATION CLUBHOUSE



FRONT ELEVATION POOL HOUSE/ FITNESS/ MAIL ROOM



© 2018 by HUMPHREYS & PARTNERS ARCHITECTS. LP. The arrangements depicted here'n ar

SCALE: 1/16" = 1'-0" (24 x 36 SHEET) May 29, 2018



